U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Technical Information Service
PB-286 292

Lake Minneola
Lake County, Florida

(U.S.) National Eutrophication Survey, Corvallis, OR

Prepared for

Corvallis Environmental Research Lab, OR

Dec 77

PB 286 292

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

WORKING PAPER SERIES

CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON

and

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPRODUCED BY
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

...P.O. 899-440

REPORT

ON

LAKE MINNEOLA

LAKE COUNTY

FLORIDA

EPA REGION IV

WORKING PAPER No. 266

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

AND THE

FLORIDA NATIONAL GUARD

DECEMBER, 1977

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA
(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completin

1. REPORT NO.	2.	3. R PB286292
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE: Report on Lake Minneola, Lake County, Florida		5. REPORT DATE: Prepared December 1977 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE
7. AUTHOR(S): Anonymous (National Eutrophication Survey Staff)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.: Working Paper # 266
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS: Pacific Northwest Environmental Research Laboratory U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 200 S. W. 35th St. Corvallis, Oregon 97330		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.: 1BA029 11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS: Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S. W. Washington, DC 20460		13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED: Final - March 1973 - Feb. 1974 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Environmental Research Center, Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

16. ABSTRACT
Annual total phosphorus and total nitrogen loadings to the lake were estimated and subdivided according to either point or non-point source origin. An assessment of the lake's trophic condition and limiting nutrient is also provided. All data collected by the U.S.E.P.A. National Eutrophication Survey during the one year study of the lake and its tributaries are included in the report.

17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

a. DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
eutrophication lakes nutrients phosphorus nitrogen loading	National Eutrophication Survey Lake Survey	05B 05C

18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report)	21. NO. OF PAGES
Release Unlimited	Unclassified	33
	20. SECURITY CLASS (This page)	22. PRICE: A03-A01

EPA Form 2220-1 (Rev. 4-77) PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE

CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword	ii
List of Florida Lakes	iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	v
Sections	
I. Conclusions	1
II. Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	3
III. Lake Water Quality Summary	4
IV. Nutrient Loadings	8
V. Literature Reviewed	12
VI. Appendices	13

FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nationwide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.

b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.

c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation for professional involvement and to the Florida National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.

Joseph W. Landers, Jr., Secretary of the Department of Environmental Regulation; John A Redmond, former Director of the Division of Planning, Technical Assistance, and Grants; and Dr. Tim S. Stuart, Chief of the Bureau of Water Quality, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General Henry W. McMillan (Retired), then the Adjutant General of Florida, and Project Officer Colonel Hugo F. Windham, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Florida National Guard, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

STUDY LAKES

STATE OF FLORIDA

LAKE NAME	COUNTY
Alligator	Columbia
Apopka	Lake, Orange
Banana	Polk
Crescent	Flagler, Putnam
Doctors	Clay
Dora	Lake
East Tohopekaliga	Osceola
Effie	Polk
Eloise	Polk
George	Putnam, Volusia
Gibson	Polk
Glenada	Highlands
Griffin	Lake
Haines	Polk
Hancock	Polk
Horseshoe	Seminole
Howell	Orange, Seminole
Istokpoga	Highlands
Jessie	Polk
Jessup	Seminole
Kissimmee	Osceola
Lawne	Orange
Lulu	Polk
Marion	Polk
Minnehaha	Orange
Minneola	Lake
Monroe	Seminole, Volusia
Munson	Leon
Okeechobee	Glades, Hendry, Martin, Okeechobee, Palm Beach
Poinsett	Brevard, Orange, Osceola
Reedy	Polk
Seminole	Jackson, FL; Decatur, Seminole, GA
Seminole	Pinellas
South	Brevard
Talquin	Gadsden, Leon
Tarpon	Pinellas
Thonotosassa	Hillsborough
Tohopekaliga	Osceola
Trout	Lake
Weohyakapka	Polk
Yale	Lake


LAKE MINNEOLA
Tributary Sampling Site
Lake Sampling Site
0 1/2 1 1 1/2 Km.
0 1/2 Mi.
Scale
Fla.
Map Location
81°47'
81°46'
28°36'
28°35'
28°34'
28°33'
Spring Lake
Outlet
A1
02
01
LAKE MINNEOLA
Minneola
B1
03
Clermont
Crystal Lake

LAKE MINNEOLA

STORET NO. 1230

I. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate Lake Minneola is oligo-mesotrophic. It ranked first in overall trophic quality when the 41 Florida lakes sampled in 1973 were compared using a combination of six parameters*. All of the other lakes had greater median total phosphorus and mean chlorophyll a, 37 had greater median dissolved phosphorus, 38 had greater and two had the same median inorganic nitrogen, and only one of the lakes had a greater mean Secchi disc transparency.

Survey limnologists reported rooted aquatic vegetation along the shorelines.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results are not considered representative of conditions in the lake at sampling time (03/15/73) due to a significant loss of inorganic nitrogen during shipment from the field to the laboratory. The lake data indicate phosphorus limitation at two of the three sampling stations in March but nitrogen limitation at all stations in September and November.

C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--No known municipal or industrial wastewater treatment plants impacted Lake Minneola during the sampling year.

---

* See Appendix A.

Septic tanks serving shoreline dwellings were estimated to have contributed 1.0% of the total phosphorus load to the lake, but a shoreline survey would be needed to determine the actual significance of these sources.

The present phosphorus loading of 0.27 $g/m^2/yr$ is only half that proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974) as a eutrophic loading (see page 11). If the loading rate is not increased significantly, the existing trophic condition of Lake Minneola should persist.

2. Non-point sources--It is calculated that the outlet of Lake Hiawatha contributed about 81.9% of the total phosphorus input to Lake Minneola. The controllability of this phosphorus load is not known, but the very low phosphorus export rate of the outlet stream B-1 (see page 10) indicates point-source contributions to Lake Hiawatha probably are minimal.

II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS†

A. Morphometry††:

1. Surface area: 7.64 kilometers^2.
2. Mean depth: 2.7 meters.
3. Maximum depth: 4.3 meters.
4. Volume: 20.628 x 10^6 m^3.
5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 128 days (based on outflow).

B. Tributary and Outlet:
(See Appendix C for flow data)

1. Tributaries -

Name	Drainage area (km^2*)	Mean flow (m^3/sec)*
Unnamed Stream B-1	398.9	1.86
Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	2.7	0.03
Totals	401.6	1.89

2. Outlet -

Palatlakaha River	409.2**	1.86

C. Precipitation***:

1. Year of sampling: 123.5 centimeters.
2. Mean annual: 130.5 centimeters.

---

† Table of metric conversions--Appendix B.
†† Wegner, 1967.
* For limits of accuracy, see Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods, 1973-1976".
** Includes area of lake.
*** See Working Paper No. 175.

III. WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Lake Minneola was sampled three times in 1973 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from three stations on the lake and from one or more depths at each station (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll *a* analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 3.4 meters at station 1, 1.5 meters at station 2, and 1.5 meters at station 3.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix D and are summarized in the following table.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR LAKE MINNEOLA
STORET CODE 1230

PARAMETER	1ST SAMPLING (3/15/73) 3 SITES RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	2ND SAMPLING (9/ 6/73) 3 SITES RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	3RD SAMPLING (11/ 7/73) 3 SITES RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN
TEMP (C)	24.7 - 25.8	25.2	25.3	29.3 - 29.8	29.6	29.6	22.4 - 22.8	22.6	22.7
DISS OXY (MG/L)	8.1 - 8.7	8.3	8.2	7.6 - 7.8	7.7	7.7	8.0 - 8.2	8.0	8.0
CNDCTVY (MCROMO)	75. - 90.	82.	85.	86. - 87.	87.	87.	85. - 90.	87.	86.
PH (STAND UNITS)	7.2 - 7.9	7.6	7.6	6.7 - 8.3	7.3	7.1	6.4 - 6.7	6.6	6.6
TOT ALK (MG/L)	10. - 10.	10.	10.	10. - 10.	10.	10.	10. - 10.	10.	10.
TOT P (MG/L)	0.013 - 0.017	0.014	0.014	0.016 - 0.034	0.022	0.019	0.015 - 0.029	0.024	0.027
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.003 - 0.012	0.006	0.005	0.008 - 0.028	0.015	0.011	0.005 - 0.018	0.011	0.011
NO2+NO3 (MG/L)	0.020 - 0.040	0.026	0.020	0.030 - 0.040	0.032	0.030	0.020 - 0.030	0.029	0.030
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.040 - 0.100	0.050	0.040	0.030 - 0.070	0.047	0.045	0.040 - 0.050	0.041	0.040
KJEL N (MG/L)	0.400 - 0.700	0.457	0.400	0.800 - 1.300	0.975	0.900	0.500 - 0.600	0.543	0.500
INORG N (MG/L)	0.060 - 0.140	0.076	0.060	0.060 - 0.110	0.080	0.075	0.060 - 0.080	0.070	0.070
TOTAL N (MG/L)	0.420 - 0.740	0.483	0.420	0.830 - 1.340	1.007	0.930	0.520 - 0.630	0.571	0.530
CHLRPYL A (UG/L)	0.9 - 1.7	1.2	1.0	5.1 - 7.3	6.0	5.5	2.7 - 3.0	2.8	2.8
SECCHI (METERS)	2.7 - 4.6	3.4	2.7	1.8 - 1.9	1.9	1.9	1.5 - 2.1	1.9	2.1

B. Biological characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Algal units per ml
03/15/73	1. Flagellates	174
	2. Lyngbya sp.	35
	3. Melosira sp.	35
	4. Cryptomonas sp.	35
	5. Aphanocapsa sp.	35
	Total	314
09/06/73	1. Lyngbya sp.	3,235
	2. Chroococcus sp.	1,438
	3. Flagellates sp.	659
	4. Cosmarium sp.	60
	5. Cryptomonas sp.	60
	Other genera	300
	Total	5,752
11/07/73	1. Lyngbya sp.	2,757
	2. Chroococcus sp.	1,470
	3. Aphanocapsa sp.	962
	4. Synedra sp.	254
	5. Chlamydomonas sp.	192
	Other genera	747
	Total	6,382

2. Chlorophyll a -

Sampling Date	Station Number	Chlorophyll a (µg/l)
03/15/73	1	1.7
	2	1.0
	3	0.9
09/06/73	1	7.3
	2	5.1
	3	5.5
11/07/73	1	3.0
	2	2.8
	3	2.7

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

There was a loss of about 60% of the inorganic nitrogen in the assay sample during shipment from the field to the laboratory, and the assay results are not considered representative of conditions in the lake at the time the sample was taken (03/15/73).

The lake data indicate that primary productivity was limited by phosphorus at two of the three sampling stations in March but was nitrogen limited at all stations in September and November.

Following is a tabulation of the mean inorganic nitrogen/orthophosphorus ratios for each of the sampling stations and times with the indicated limiting nutrient in parentheses.

Station	03/15/73	09/06/73	11/07/73
1	10/1 (N)	4/1 (N)	5/1 (N)
2	14/1 (P)	5/1 (N)	8/1 (N)
3	17/1 (P)	10/1 (N)	11/1 (N)

IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS
(See Appendix E for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Florida National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page v). Sampling was begun in March, 1973, and was completed in February, 1974.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Florida District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings*. Nutrient loads for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated using the nutrient loads, in $kg/km^2/yr$, at station B-1 and multiplying by the ZZ area in km^2.

No known point sources impacted Lake Minneola during the sampling year.

---

* See Working Paper No. 175.

A. Waste Sources:

1. Known municipal - None

2. Known industrial - None

B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

Source	kg P/yr	% of total
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Unnamed Stream B-1	1,680	81.9
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	10	0.5
c. Known municipal STP's - None	-	-
d. Septic tanks* -	25	1.0
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation** -	335	16.6
Totals	2,050	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Palatlakaha River 1,540

3. Net annual P accumulation - 510 kg.

* Estimate based on 85 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.
** Brezonik and Shannon, 1971.

C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

Source	kg N/yr	% of total
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Unnamed Stream B-1	46,695	89.2
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	315	0.6
c. Known municipal STP's - None	-	-
d. Septic tanks* -	905	1.7
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation** -	4,430	8.5
Total	52,345	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Palatlakaha River 125,420

3. Net annual N loss - 73,075 kg.

D. Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

Tributary	kg P/km^2/yr	kg N/km^2/yr
Unnamed Stream B-1	4	117

* Estimate based on 85 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.
** Brezonik and Shannon, 1971.

E. Yearly Loads:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loadings are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974). Note, however, that Florida lakes may be able to assimilate phosphorus at a somewhat higher level than that suggested by Vollenweider (Shannon and Brezonik, 1972).

Essentially, Vollenweider's "dangerous" loading is one at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" loading is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic loading would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times.

	Total Phosphorus		Total Nitrogen	
	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
grams/m^2/yr	0.27	0.07	6.9	loss*

Vollenweider phosphorus loadings ($g/m^2/yr$) based on mean depth and mean hydraulic retention time of Lake Minneola:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic loading)	0.54
"Permissible" (oligotrophic loading)	0.27

* There was an apparent loss of nitrogen during the sampling year. This may have been due to nitrogen fixation in the lake, solubilization of previously sedimented nitrogen, recharge with nitrogen-rich ground water, unknown and unsampled point sources discharging directly to the lake, or underestimation of the minor tributary and immediate drainage load. Whatever the cause, a similar nitrogen loss has occurred at Shagawa Lake, Minnesota, which has been intensively studied by EPA's former National Eutrophication and Lake Restoration Branch (Malueg et al., 1975).

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

Brezonik, Patrick L., and Earl E. Shannon, 1971. Trophic state of lakes in north central Florida. Publ. No. 13, Water Resources Res. Ctr., U. of FL, Gainesville.

Malueg, Kenneth W., D. Phillips Larsen, Donald W. Schults, and Howard T. Mercier, 1975. A six-year water, phosphorus, and nitrogen budget for Shagawa Lake, Minnesota. Jour. Env. Qual., vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 236-242.

Shannon, Earl E., and Patrick L. Brezonik, 1972. Relationships between lake trophic state and nitrogen and phosphorus loading rates. Env. Sci. & Techn. 6 (8): 719-725.

Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research. Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

Wegner, William, 1967. Clermont chain of lakes. Progress report for the Central Florida Region Fish Management Area submitted to the FL Game & Fresh Water Fish Comm., Tallahassee.

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
1240	LAKE THONOTOSASSA	0.695	0.095	466.167	37.700	10.200	0.565
1241	LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	0.246	0.200	472.917	30.633	10.500	0.152
1242	TROUT LAKE	1.110	0.650	472.000	76.967	12.900	0.970
1243	LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA	0.047	0.080	458.667	7.767	8.200	0.011
1246	L. E YALE	0.027	0.160	441.000	25.367	7.600	0.014
1247	LAKE MUNSON	1.475	0.925	486.667	140.317	12.200	0.852
1248	LAKE SEMINOLE	0.234	0.175	473.833	102.000	8.600	0.026
1249	LAKE LAWNE	2.560	1.350	494.667	84.900	10.400	0.117
1250	LAKE TARPON	0.041	0.070	400.889	6.867	9.000	0.027
1252	LAKE ELOISE	0.486	0.170	465.333	70.233	12.200	0.339
1258	LAKE JESSIE	0.051	0.090	452.667	26.300	10.800	0.011
1261	EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	0.042	0.070	440.833	5.167	9.400	0.007
1264	PAYNE'S PRAIRIE LAKE (NO	1.260	0.140	476.000	88.200	7.400	1.210

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
1201	ALLIGATOR LAKE	25 (10)	29 (10)	30 (12)	18 (7)	10 (4)	18 (7)	130
1202	LAKE APOPKA	50 (20)	38 (15)	10 (4)	38 (15)	74 (29)	70 (28)	280
1203	LAKE BANANA	23 (9)	29 (10)	20 (8)	5 (2)	100 (40)	23 (9)	200
1206	LAKE CRESCENT	65 (26)	70 (27)	33 (13)	80 (32)	48 (18)	50 (20)	346
1207	DOCTORS LAKE	60 (24)	76 (30)	60 (24)	55 (22)	34 (12)	56 (22)	341
1208	LAKE DORA	53 (21)	35 (14)	18 (7)	33 (13)	90 (35)	68 (27)	297
1209	LAKE EFFIE	5 (2)	10 (4)	3 (1)	3 (1)	0 (0)	10 (4)	31
1210	LAKE GEORGE	45 (18)	54 (21)	48 (19)	43 (17)	23 (9)	43 (17)	256
1211	LAKE GIBSON	40 (16)	81 (32)	45 (18)	70 (28)	48 (18)	40 (16)	324
1212	GLENADA LAKE	43 (17)	54 (21)	85 (34)	53 (21)	3 (1)	38 (15)	276
1214	LAKE GRIFFIN	48 (19)	29 (10)	23 (9)	30 (12)	95 (38)	48 (19)	273
1215	LAKE HAINES	70 (28)	81 (32)	75 (30)	58 (23)	34 (12)	78 (31)	396
1217	LAKE HANCOCK	18 (7)	43 (17)	13 (5)	13 (5)	98 (39)	28 (11)	213
1219	LAKE HORSESHOE	93 (37)	70 (27)	80 (32)	78 (31)	20 (8)	65 (26)	406
1220	LAKE HOWELL	11 (4)	23 (9)	69 (27)	35 (14)	60 (23)	3 (1)	201
1221	LAKE ISTOKPOGA	85 (34)	76 (30)	65 (26)	93 (37)	69 (27)	89 (35)	477
1223	LAKE JESSUP	28 (11)	18 (7)	5 (2)	25 (10)	83 (32)	25 (10)	184
1224	LAKE KISSIMMEE	90 (36)	63 (25)	73 (29)	65 (26)	65 (26)	99 (39)	455
1227	LAKE LULU	3 (1)	3 (1)	15 (6)	0 (0)	8 (3)	5 (2)	34
1228	LAKE MARION	78 (31)	29 (10)	53 (21)	50 (20)	83 (32)	73 (29)	366
1229	LAKE MINNEHAHA	88 (35)	91 (36)	95 (38)	85 (34)	78 (31)	80 (32)	517
1230	LAKE MINNEOLA	100 (40)	98 (38)	98 (39)	100 (40)	90 (35)	93 (37)	579
1231	LAKE MONROE	38 (15)	15 (6)	28 (11)	75 (30)	26 (10)	33 (13)	215
1232	LAKE OKEECHOBEE	68 (27)	45 (18)	40 (16)	73 (29)	53 (21)	89 (35)	368
1234	LAKE POINSETT	58 (23)	60 (24)	50 (20)	95 (38)	34 (12)	45 (18)	342
1236	LAKE REEDY	95 (38)	13 (5)	55 (22)	45 (18)	34 (12)	95 (38)	337
1238	LAKE SOUTH	63 (25)	70 (27)	69 (27)	68 (27)	60 (23)	56 (22)	386
1239	LAKE TALQUIN	55 (22)	20 (8)	78 (31)	83 (33)	5 (2)	53 (21)	294

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
1240	LAKE THONOTOSASSA	20 (8)	85 (34)	58 (23)	40 (16)	48 (18)	15 (6)	266
1241	LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	33 (13)	40 (16)	38 (15)	48 (19)	40 (16)	30 (12)	229
1242	TROUT LAKE	15 (6)	8 (3)	43 (17)	23 (9)	13 (5)	8 (3)	110
1243	LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA	75 (30)	91 (36)	83 (33)	88 (35)	74 (29)	84 (33)	495
1246	LAKE YALE	98 (39)	58 (23)	90 (36)	63 (25)	83 (32)	75 (30)	467
1247	LAKE MUNSON	8 (3)	5 (2)	8 (3)	8 (3)	16 (6)	13 (5)	58
1248	LAKE SEMINOLE	35 (14)	48 (19)	35 (14)	10 (4)	69 (27)	63 (25)	260
1249	LAKE LAWNE	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	20 (8)	43 (17)	35 (14)	98
1250	LAKE TARPON	83 (33)	98 (38)	100 (40)	90 (36)	60 (23)	60 (24)	491
1252	LAKE ELOISE	30 (12)	50 (20)	63 (25)	28 (11)	16 (6)	20 (8)	207
1258	LAKE JESSIE	73 (29)	88 (35)	88 (35)	60 (24)	26 (10)	84 (33)	419
1261	EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	80 (32)	98 (38)	93 (37)	98 (39)	55 (22)	99 (39)	523
1264	PAYNE'S PRAIRIE LAKE (NO	11 (4)	65 (26)	25 (10)	15 (6)	90 (35)	0 (0)	206

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	1230	LAKE MINNEOLA	579
2	1261	EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	523
3	1229	LAKE MINNEHAHA	517
4	1243	LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA	495
5	1250	LAKE TARPON	491
6	1221	LAKE ISTOKPOGA	477
7	1246	LAKE YALE	467
8	1224	LAKE KISSIMMEE	455
9	1258	LAKE JESSIE	419
10	1219	LAKE HORSESHOE	406
11	1215	LAKE HAINES	396
12	1238	LAKE SOUTH	386
13	1232	LAKE OKEECHOBEE	368
14	1228	LAKE MARION	366
15	1206	LAKE CRESCENT	346
16	1234	LAKE POINSETT	342
17	1207	DOCTORS LAKE	341
18	1236	LAKE REEDY	337
19	1211	LAKE GIBSON	324
20	1208	LAKE DORA	297
21	1239	LAKE TALQUIN	294
22	1202	LAKE APOPKA	280
23	1212	GLENADA LAKE	276
24	1214	LAKE GRIFFIN	273
25	1240	LAKE THONOTOSASSA	266
26	1248	LAKE SEMINOLE	260
27	1210	LAKE GEORGE	256
28	1241	LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	229

APPENDIX B

CONVERSION FACTORS

CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters x 8.107×10^{-4} = acre/feet

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 - cubic feet/sec

Centimeters x 0.3937 = inches

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR FLORIDA 8/25/75

LAKE CODE 1230 LAKE MINNEOLA

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE(SQ KM) 409.2

TRIBUTARY	SUB-DRAINAGE AREA(SQ KM)	NORMALIZED FLOWS(CMS) JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	MEAN
1230A1	409.2	1.24	1.52	2.80	2.62	1.78	1.07	1.09	2.04	2.44	2.76	1.80	1.13	1.86
123081	398.9	1.21	1.55	2.94	2.56	1.60	1.01	1.12	2.06	2.62	2.86	1.67	1.05	1.86
1230ZZ	2.6	0.31	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 409.2
SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS = 401.4

TOTAL FLOW IN = 22.62
TOTAL FLOW OUT = 22.27

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
1230A1	3	73	0.05						
	4	73	0.09						
	5	73	-0.03						
	6	73	0.08						
	7	73	0.01						
	8	73	0.05						
	9	73	0.07						
	10	73	-0.04						
	11	73	-0.05						
	12	73	0.01						
	1	74	0.05						
	2	74	-0.07						
123081	3	73	0.15						
	4	73	0.27						
	5	73	-0.10						
	6	73	0.24						
	7	73	0.04						
	8	73	0.14						
	9	73	0.20						
	10	73	-0.12						
	11	73	-0.14						
	12	73	0.03						
	1	74	0.14						
	2	74	-0.21						

APPENDIX D

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

123001
28 34 35.0 081 45 50.0
LAKE MINNEOLA
12069 FLORIDA

11EPALES
3

2111202
0014 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
73/03/15	09 35	0000	25.3		180	85	7.60	10K	0.100	0.700	0.040	0.010
	09 35	0005	25.3	8.2		85	7.60	10K	0.040	0.400	0.020	0.012
	09 35	0010	24.7	8.7		90	7.20	10K	0.050	0.500	0.030	0.006
73/09/06	16 10	0000	29.4	7.8	72	86	7.50	10K	0.070	1.300	0.040	0.010
	16 10	0008	29.3	7.6		87	8.30	10K	0.030	0.800	0.030	0.028
73/11/07	11 20	0000	22.6		84	88	6.70	10K	0.050	0.600	0.030	0.018
	11 20	0005	22.4	8.0		87	6.60	10K	0.040	0.500	0.030	0.014
	11 20	0011	22.4	8.0		86	6.60	10K	0.040	0.500	0.030	0.014

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
73/03/15	09 35	0000	0.015	1.7
	09 35	0005	0.014	
	09 35	0010	0.017	
73/09/06	16 10	0000	0.020	7.3
	16 10	0008	0.034	
73/11/07	11 20	0000	0.028	3.0
	11 20	0005	0.028	
	11 20	0011	0.029	

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

123002
28 34 43.0 081 46 50.0
LAKE MINNEOLA
12069 FLORIDA

11EPALES
3

2111202
0009 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
73/03/15	10 15	0000	25.8		108	80	7.60	10K	0.040	0.400	0.020	0.004
	10 15	0005	25.8	8.1		85	7.60	10K	0.040	0.400	0.030	0.005
73/09/06	16 45	0000	29.8	7.6	74	86	6.80	10K	0.040	1.000	0.030	0.013
73/11/07	11 35	0000	22.8		60	90	6.40	10K	0.040	0.600	0.030	0.006
	11 35	0005	22.7	8.0		86	6.50	10K	0.040	0.500	0.020	0.011

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
73/03/15	10 15	0000	0.013	1.0
	10 15	0005	0.013	
73/09/06	16 45	0000	0.019	5.1
73/11/07	11 35	0000	0.027	2.8
	11 35	0005	0.024	

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

123003
28 33 56.0 081 46 26.0
LAKE MINNEOLA
12069 FLORIDA

11EPALES 2111202
3 0009 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
73/03/15	10 40	0000	24.7		108	75	7.70	10K	0.040	0.400	0.020	0.003
	10 40	0005	24.7	8.3		75	7.90	10K	0.040	0.400	0.020	0.004
73/09/06	16 35	0000	29.8	7.8	73	87	6.70	10K	0.050	0.800	0.030	0.008
73/11/07	11 45	0000	22.8		84	85	6.60	10K	0.040	0.600	0.030	0.005
	11 45	0005	22.7	8.2		85	6.50	10K	0.040	0.500	0.030	0.008

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
73/03/15	10 40	0000	0.013	0.9
	10 40	0005	0.014	
73/09/06	16 35	0000	0.016	5.5
73/11/07	11 45	0000	0.015	2.7
	11 45	0005	0.019	

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

123081
28 34 00.0 081 46 30.0
UNNAMED TRIB
12 7.5 CLERMONT WES
I/LAKE MINNEOLA
BRDG OVER CONN BTW LKS MINNEOLA & HIAWAT
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
73/03/17	09	40	0.010K	1.380	0.048	0.006	0.015
73/04/07	11	14	0.014	0.780	0.021	0.007	0.040
73/05/13	10	15	0.010K	1.500	0.012	0.005K	0.040
73/06/17	08	10	0.010K	0.630	0.024	0.008	0.010
73/07/08	10	00	0.022	0.735	0.036	0.015	0.030
73/08/05	15	00	0.240	3.200	1.580	0.005K	0.035
73/09/08	13	40	0.010K	0.690	0.037	0.009	0.035
73/11/03	15	45	0.010K	0.550	0.066	0.018	0.150
73/12/08	10	30	0.012	0.600	0.028	0.011	0.025
73/12/15	10	20	0.010K	0.600	0.028	0.020	0.035
74/01/12	11	45	0.012	0.500	0.055	0.008	0.020
74/02/02	11	30	0.008	0.700	0.015	0.005	0.025

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED